Computational Intelligence in Modern Power Systems, 1(1):47–56, 2026. DOI: 10.58613/cimps115

Computational Intelligence in Modern Power Systems

Journal homepage: https://gpub.org/journal-details.php?journal-id=49

Research Article

Artificial Intelligence in Mining Engineering: Opportunities, Challenges, and Future Directions

Bernard Nkrumah Attobrah [2*], **Zakiya Konda Nurudeen** [3], **Asuelimen Egheosa Tito** [4] **and Osasere Osbert Iduozee** [1]

[1]*Department of Petroleum Engineering, University of Benin, Benin city, Nigeria.*
[2]*Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, New Mexico Institute of Mining and Technology, NM, USA.*
[3]*Department of Mining and Mineral Engineering, University of Alaska Fairbanks, Fairbanks, USA.*
[4]*Department of Mechanical Engineering Federal University of Petroleum Resources Effurun. Warri, Nigeria.*
[*]*Corresponding author: bernard.attobrah@yahoo.com*

Article Info

Keywords: *Artificial intelligence, Machine learning, Mining engineering, autonomous systems, Mine safety, Critical minerals, Digital transformation, Operational technology, MSHA compliance, Predictive maintenance, Process optimization.*

Received: *03.02.2026;*
Accepted: *26.02.2026;*
Published: *04.03.2026*

Abstract

Artificial intelligence (AI) is emerging as a strategic enabler for modernizing mining engineering practices; however, adoption across the United States mining industry remains uneven due to operational, technical, and regulatory constraints. This paper provides a structured synthesis of peer-reviewed research, industry technical reports, government policy documents, and documented case studies to evaluate state-of-the-art AI applications across the mining value chain and to propose a practical roadmap for responsible integration within the US context. Key application domains include mineral exploration and resource modeling, mine planning and optimization, autonomous equipment operation, advanced process control, safety and risk management, predictive maintenance, and environmental compliance. Documented deployments report measurable performance gains, including 15–30% productivity improvements from autonomous haulage systems, 30–50% reductions in maintenance downtime through predictive analytics, and 1–16% throughput increases enabled by AI-enhanced process control. Despite these demonstrated benefits, widespread implementation is constrained by data quality limitations, lack of interoperability across heterogeneous equipment fleets, validation requirements for safety-critical systems, cybersecurity vulnerabilities in operational technology networks, workforce skill gaps in data science and robotics, and regulatory frameworks not yet fully adapted to autonomous and AI-driven technologies. The paper outlines a phased implementation roadmap centered on data readiness, pilot-based deployment, stakeholder engagement, cybersecurity hardening, and governance development. Future research priorities include trustworthy AI, digital twin ecosystem maturity, human–autonomy collaboration, and alignment with national objectives related to critical minerals security and sustainable mining.

1. Introduction

The global mining industry stands at a critical inflection point characterized by converging pressures and unprecedented opportunities. On one hand, mineral demand is surging driven by the clean energy transition, electrification of transportation, expansion of digital infrastructure, and critical minerals supply chain imperatives for economic security [1]. On the other hand, the industry faces formidable headwinds. Ore grades are declining at approximately 25-30% per decade for major commodities including copper and gold [2, 3], forcing operators to process exponentially larger volumes of material to maintain production levels. This grade decline translates directly to higher operational costs, increased energy consumption per unit of metal produced, and amplified environmental footprints. Simultaneously, stakeholder expectations around safety performance, environmental stewardship, and social license to operate continue to intensify [4]. Traditional engineering approaches, while continuously refined over decades, are reaching their fundamental limits in addressing these compounding pressures.

Artificial intelligence (AI), broadly defined as computational systems capable of performing tasks that typically require human intelligence including perception, learning, reasoning, problem-solving, and decision-making under uncertainty, offers transformative potential to transcend these constraints [5]. Unlike previous waves of mine automation that relied on deterministic rule-based systems, modern AI leverages machine learning algorithms capable of discovering complex non-linear patterns in high-dimensional data, adapting to dynamic operational conditions, and optimizing decisions in real-time without explicit programming for every scenario [6]. The mining industry has a long history of technological innovation. Mining has experienced significant leaps in productivity and safety from steam-powered machinery and early mechanization in the 1700s through widespread electrification in the 1800s and large-scale mechanization in the 20th century that drastically improved production while reducing reliance on manual labor and improving working conditions [7]. However, AI represents a qualitative shift beyond incremental automation, enabling fundamentally new capabilities.

Contemporary AI applications now span the entire mining value chain. In exploration, machine learning algorithms analyze multi-source geoscientific data to identify prospective mineral targets with greater accuracy than traditional geostatistical methods [8, 9]. In mine operations, autonomous haulage systems operating in Western Australian and North American surface mines have demonstrated productivity improvements of 15-30% through elimination of operator shift changes, optimized speed profiles, and consistent execution [10, 11]. In mineral processing, advanced process control systems augmented with AI achieve 1-16% throughput gains and 5-10% energy savings by dynamically optimizing grinding, flotation, and separation circuits [12, 13]. In maintenance, predictive analytics models trained on sensor data reduce unplanned equipment downtime by 30-50% while extending asset life by 20-40% [14, 15].

Despite this demonstrated potential, AI integration in United States mining operations faces distinct challenges that differentiate the US context from early adopter regions such as Australia and Canada [16]. The US mining sector is characterized by geographically dispersed assets across diverse commodity types and geological settings, heterogeneous equipment fleets spanning multiple decades of technology vintages, stringent regulatory oversight by federal agencies including the Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) and the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and complex labor relations shaped by union presence in certain regions. The US regulatory framework, developed primarily in an era of manual and conventionally automated operations, lacks explicit provisions for autonomous and AI-enabled systems, creating ambiguity around liability, approval pathways, and compliance demonstration [17, 18]. Furthermore, the US mining workforce is aging with insufficient pipeline of younger talent, and skilled labor shortages are particularly acute in emerging disciplines such as data science, machine learning engineering, and robotics [19].

This paper addresses three interconnected research questions:

1. Where and how can AI add measurable value across specific mining engineering functions, and what evidence exists to quantify these benefits?
2. What are the technical, organizational, regulatory, and ethical barriers to AI deployment specifically within the US mining context?
3. What practical, actionable steps can mining operators, technology providers, regulatory agencies, and academic researchers take to accelerate responsible AI adoption while managing risks?

Our analysis synthesizes evidence from peer-reviewed scientific literature, industry technical reports, government policy documents, and documented operational case studies. The discussion is explicitly framed within US operational realities, regulatory structures, and strategic priorities including critical minerals security [1], decarbonization commitments, and workforce transition imperatives. The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section 2 reviews fundamental AI concepts relevant to mining applications; Section 3 systematically examines value-adding AI applications across nine critical mining functions; Section 4 presents a comprehensive implementation roadmap; Section 5 analyzes challenges, risks, and mitigation strategies; Section 6 discusses representative case studies synthesized from documented deployments; Section 7 explores future research directions and emerging opportunities; and Section 8 concludes with actionable recommendations for key stakeholder groups.

2. Background: AI Concepts Relevant to Mining Engineering

To ground subsequent technical discussions, this section briefly reviews key artificial intelligence technologies with demonstrated or potential applicability to mining contexts. Modern AI encompasses a broad family of computational techniques, but mining applications predominantly leverage supervised learning, unsupervised learning, reinforcement learning, computer vision, time-series forecasting, and simulation-based methods [5].

2.1. Supervised Learning

Supervised learning algorithms train predictive models on labeled historical datasets containing input features and corresponding known output values, enabling prediction of outcomes for new unlabeled inputs [6]. Common supervised learning algorithms include linear and logistic regression for continuous and binary outcomes respectively, decision trees that partition feature space through recursive splitting, random forests and gradient boosting machines that ensemble multiple trees to improve accuracy and robustness, support vector machines that find optimal separating hyperplanes in high-dimensional spaces, and deep neural networks that learn hierarchical feature representations through multiple processing layers [20]. In mining engineering, supervised models predict ore grades and metallurgical recovery from geophysical measurements [8], classify rock types and alteration zones from drill core imagery and spectral data, forecast equipment failures and remaining useful life from sensor time-series [21], and estimate processing plant performance from feed characteristics [12]. Deep learning, a subset of supervised learning employing multi-layer neural networks with potentially millions of parameters, excels at learning complex non-linear relationships from raw unstructured data such as images, seismic waveforms, vibration signals, and acoustic emissions without requiring manual feature engineering [22]. Convolutional neural networks, a specialized deep learning architecture, have become the standard approach for analyzing visual data including drill core photographs, conveyor belt surface monitoring for damage detection [23, 24], haul road condition assessment, and monitoring personnel compliance with safety protocols through video analytics.

2.2. Unsupervised Learning and Anomaly Detection

Unsupervised learning discovers latent structure and patterns in unlabeled data without predefined output variables [5]. Clustering algorithms such as k-means, hierarchical clustering, and density-based spatial clustering group similar operational states or geological samples, enabling identification of distinct operating regimes or ore domains. Dimensionality reduction techniques including principal component analysis and manifold learning simplify complex high-dimensional datasets while preserving essential information structure, facilitating visualization and interpretation. Anomaly detection methods identify unusual data points or operational conditions that deviate significantly from established normal patterns, providing early warnings of equipment degradation, process upsets, or geological surprises. These unsupervised techniques are particularly valuable in mining where labeled failure data is inherently sparse since catastrophic failures are relatively rare events, and where domain expertise is required to interpret patterns and validate findings [21].

2.3. Reinforcement Learning

Reinforcement learning trains autonomous agents to make sequential decisions by learning through trial-and-error interaction with an environment, guided by a reward signal that quantifies desirable outcomes [25]. Unlike supervised learning which learns from labeled examples, reinforcement learning discovers optimal policies through exploration of state-action spaces and exploitation of learned knowledge. While reinforcement learning has achieved breakthrough performance in gaming domains and robotic manipulation, mining applications are emerging. Example applications include optimizing blast design parameters by learning relationships between geology, blast geometry, explosive properties, and resulting fragmentation distributions; tuning autonomous vehicle routing and speed profiles in real-time in response to dynamic traffic patterns, weather conditions, and production priorities; and balancing competing objectives in mine scheduling such as maximizing ore production while respecting equipment capacity constraints, grade blending targets, and environmental discharge limits.

2.4. Computer Vision

Computer vision applies pattern recognition and deep learning to extract meaningful information from visual data [22]. Beyond convolutional neural networks for image classification, computer vision encompasses object detection that identifies and localizes multiple objects within images, semantic segmentation that assigns class labels to every pixel, instance segmentation that separately delineates each individual object, and visual simultaneous localization and mapping for autonomous navigation in GPS-denied underground environments. Computer vision enables automated stockpile volume estimation through drone photogrammetry, real-time monitoring of slope stability indicators such as surface cracking and displacement, contactless measurement of muck pile and product fragmentation size distributions [23, 24], automated inspection of conveyor belt condition and damage detection, and personnel safety monitoring including hard hat and high-visibility vest compliance.

2.5. Digital Twins and Simulation

Digital twins are virtual replicas of physical assets, processes, or systems that are continuously synchronized with real-world counterparts through bidirectional data flows from sensors and control systems [26]. Digital twins integrate multiple modeling paradigms including physics-based mechanistic models derived from first principles, data-driven machine learning models trained on operational data, and discrete-event simulation for workflow analysis. This hybrid modeling approach enables scenario testing and optimization without operational risk, prediction of system behavior under conditions not yet encountered, and continuous model refinement as operating conditions evolve and additional data accumulates [27, 28]. In mining, digital twins are being developed at multiple scales ranging from individual equipment assets such as grinding mills, haul trucks, and draglines, to process circuits including comminution and flotation systems, to entire mine complexes integrating extraction, haulage, and processing, and geotechnical systems such as pit slopes and tailings storage facilities [29]. The value proposition of digital twins includes accelerated engineering design through virtual prototyping, enhanced operator training in risk-free simulated environments, predictive maintenance by detecting asset degradation before functional failure, and optimization of complex systems with numerous interacting components.

3. Mining Engineering Practice Areas Where AI Adds Value

This section systematically examines AI applications across nine critical mining engineering functions, emphasizing documented capabilities, data requirements, and measurable performance outcomes where empirical evidence exists.

3.1. Exploration and Resource Modeling

Mineral exploration is inherently a probabilistic endeavor involving integration of diverse geoscientific datasets including regional and deposit-scale geology, structural controls, geophysical surveys such as magnetic, gravity, electromagnetic and induced polarization responses, geochemical sampling of soils and rocks, and remote sensing data from multispectral and hyperspectral satellites and airborne sensors, all aimed at identifying prospective drill targets [9]. Traditional exploration relies heavily on expert geological interpretation supplemented by geostatistical methods. Machine learning offers complementary capabilities by discovering complex non-linear relationships in heterogeneous multi-source datasets that may elude human pattern recognition or conventional statistical approaches [8]. Supervised classification algorithms trained on known mineralization signatures from explored terranes can rank unexplored areas by prospectivity, improving exploration efficiency by focusing limited drilling budgets on highest-probability targets. Deep learning applied to hyperspectral satellite imagery, which captures reflected electromagnetic radiation across hundreds of narrow spectral bands, has shown promise in automatically identifying diagnostic alteration minerals associated with different deposit types, such as phyllic, argillic, and propylitic alteration assemblages characteristic of porphyry copper systems [9].

In resource modeling, geostatistical kriging methods remain the industry standard for spatial interpolation of ore grades between drill hole intercepts. However, hybrid modeling approaches combining classical geostatistics with neural networks or ensemble learning methods can improve grade estimation accuracy and uncertainty quantification, particularly in structurally complex deposits where grade continuity is anisotropic and variable [8]. Automated drill core logging using computer vision is an active area of research and development. Systems capture high-resolution photographs of split drill core and apply deep learning models to classify lithology, identify alteration zones, quantify mineral abundances, and detect structural features such as veins, fractures, and faults, potentially reducing manual logging time by 50% or more while improving consistency and objectivity [30]. Integration of automated core logging with real-time geochemical assays and downhole geophysical logs could enable dynamic updating of geological and resource models as drilling progresses, allowing adaptive adjustment of subsequent drill hole locations. For US critical minerals exploration, identified as a strategic priority with 60 mineral commodities designated as essential to economic and national security [1], AI can accelerate discovery by identifying subtle geochemical anomaly patterns or predicting deposit locations based on learned relationships from analogous deposits in global training datasets [8].

3.2. Mine Planning and Optimization

Mine planning encompasses strategic long-term decisions including pit shell optimization, production sequencing over multi-year horizons, and capital investment timing, as well as tactical short-term decisions such as weekly and daily production schedules, equipment allocation, and material destination assignments [31]. Traditional mine optimization relies extensively on mathematical programming techniques including linear programming for continuous decision variables, mixed-integer programming for discrete choices, and metaheuristic algorithms such as genetic algorithms and simulated annealing for combinatorially complex problems [32]. AI augments these established methods in several ways. Reinforcement learning can optimize production scheduling under geological uncertainty, equipment reliability variability, commodity price fluctuations, and changing market conditions by learning adaptive policies that balance competing objectives [25]. Machine learning models predict downstream processing plant metallurgical behavior as a function of ore characteristics measurable at the mine, enabling more informed material routing decisions such as whether marginal ore should be directed to processing, stockpiled for future treatment, or sent to waste based on anticipated recovery and economic value [12].

Short-interval control systems operating on hourly to daily timescales use real-time operational data and predictive models to dynamically adjust tactical plans in response to actual performance, equipment availability, and process conditions. AI-enhanced fleet management and dispatch systems optimize haul truck assignments to minimize cycle times, reduce queuing delays at shovels and dumps, minimize fuel consumption and tire wear, and maximize overall material movement throughput [33]. Advanced dispatch systems incorporate predictive maintenance alerts, automatically rerouting equipment that is approaching service intervals or displaying anomalous behavior patterns [14]. Stochastic mine planning frameworks that explicitly account for multiple sources of uncertainty including geological grade distribution, equipment reliability, processing plant recovery variability, and commodity price risk are becoming computationally tractable with modern optimization algorithms and machine learning-based surrogate models that approximate complex simulations.

3.3. Drilling, Blasting, and Fragmentation Analytics

Drill-and-blast operations fundamentally control fragmentation size distribution, which in turn governs downstream productivity in loading, hauling, crushing, and grinding. Optimizing blast design requires balancing multiple objectives including achieving target fragmentation specifications, minimizing overbreak and damage to final pit walls or underground excavations, controlling ground vibration and airblast to meet environmental limits and protect nearby infrastructure, and maximizing energy efficiency. AI optimizes blast designs by learning from historical blast outcomes, establishing relationships between input parameters including drill pattern geometry, hole diameter and depth, explosive type and energy, initiation timing and sequencing, and geological characteristics, and output metrics such as measured fragmentation distributions, throw distances, and vibration levels. Computer vision systems analyze post-blast muck piles using imagery from haul trucks, fixed cameras, or drones, or monitor material on conveyor belts, to automatically estimate fragmentation size distributions with accuracy exceeding 95% compared to manual sieve analysis [23, 24], providing rapid feedback to refine subsequent blast parameters through closed-loop optimization.

Real-time monitoring of rotary drill parameters including penetration rate, rotation speed, torque, thrust force, vibration signatures, and mud pressure generates continuous data streams. Supervised learning models trained on this drill data can classify rock types and identify geological boundaries during drilling, improving geological model resolution between drillholes and enabling real-time adjustments to blast designs as geological conditions vary across the blast pattern. Anomaly detection algorithms applied to drill parameter signatures identify equipment malfunctions such as bearing wear, hydraulic system degradation, or drill bit damage, triggering proactive maintenance interventions before catastrophic failure [21].

3.4. Haulage, Dispatch, and Autonomous Systems

Autonomous haulage systems represent one of the most visible and commercially proven AI applications in mining. Large-scale deployments of autonomous haul trucks in surface mining operations, particularly in Western Australia's Pilbara iron ore province and increasingly in North American copper, coal, and oil sands operations, have accumulated extensive operational track records demonstrating consistent productivity and safety improvements. Industry data indicates that autonomous haulage systems deliver 15-30% productivity improvements relative to conventional manned operations through multiple mechanisms [10, 11]. Operating hours increase by 15-20% due to elimination of shift changeover downtime, meal breaks, and operator fatigue limitations, with trucks operating essentially continuously across 24-hour periods. Fuel consumption reduces by 10-15% through optimized speed profiles, smoother acceleration and deceleration, and elimination of operator variability in driving behavior [33]. Tire wear decreases through consistent adherence to recommended speeds and avoidance of abrupt maneuvers, extending tire life which represents a major consumable cost in surface mining.

Specific operator experiences validate these aggregate trends. Rio Tinto has publicly reported that its autonomous truck fleet in the Pilbara region, which comprises over 400 trucks representing the world's largest autonomous fleet, achieved 15% lower load-and-haul unit costs compared to equivalent conventional operations [10]. Fortescue Metals Group documented 30% productivity improvements in autonomous compared to manually operated trucks, attributed to consistent execution and elimination of operator variability [10]. Caterpillar, a leading mining equipment manufacturer, reports that its autonomous truck systems deployed across multiple customer sites globally, totaling more than 550 trucks in operation as of 2023, have demonstrated productivity improvements of up to 30% versus manned operations and have accumulated over 90 million miles of autonomous operation without a single lost-time injury [11]. This safety record is particularly significant given that vehicle interactions represent a leading cause of mining fatalities and serious injuries globally.

Despite these demonstrated benefits internationally, autonomous haulage adoption in United States surface mining operations has been slower and more cautious, driven primarily by regulatory ambiguity and labor relations considerations. The Mine Safety and Health Administration, the federal regulatory agency responsible for mine safety, has not established comprehensive regulatory frameworks specifically addressing autonomous mining equipment [17, 18]. This creates uncertainty for operators around approval pathways, compliance demonstration, liability allocation in the event of incidents, and inspection protocols. MSHA has issued several non-binding information circulars and policy letters acknowledging autonomous technology but has not promulgated formal regulations, leaving operators to navigate case-by-case determinations with district mine inspectors. Additionally, unionized operations face complex labor negotiations around workforce impacts and retraining requirements. Nevertheless, pilot autonomous haulage projects are advancing at several US surface mines in Nevada, Arizona, and Utah, typically starting with limited fleets of 5-10 trucks to build operational experience and demonstrate regulatory compliance before broader deployment.

3.5. Processing Plants and Recover Optimization

Mineral processing plants transform run-of-mine ore into saleable products through complex sequences of physical and chemical unit operations including size reduction via crushing and grinding, physical separation exploiting density, magnetic susceptibility, or optical properties, and chemical extraction through flotation, leaching, and precipitation. Plant performance, measured by metrics such as throughput tonnage, product grade and recovery, energy consumption, reagent usage, and equipment availability, depends on numerous interacting factors including ore mineralogy and hardness variability, equipment operating conditions and degradation state, reagent dosing strategies, and environmental parameters such as water chemistry and temperature. Advanced process control systems incorporating AI have demonstrated substantial and well-documented performance improvements in grinding and flotation circuits, which represent the most energy-intensive and value-determining unit operations in most mineral processing plants [12, 13].

A detailed study of an industrial grinding circuit processing iron ore documented that advanced process control implementation increased circuit throughput from 541 metric tons per hour to 571 metric tons per hour, representing a 5.5% throughput gain, while simultaneously reducing specific energy consumption by more than 5%, yielding both production and operating cost benefits [34]. A comprehensive literature review synthesizing results from multiple grinding and flotation installations reported that advanced process control systems consistently deliver 1-16% gains in ore throughput, at least 40% reduction in mill load variability which improves downstream consistency, up to 1% improvement in metal recovery in flotation which translates to substantial revenue gains for high-value commodities, 15% reduction in grinding media consumption, and 52% reduction in cyclone pressure variability [12]. Industry reports from commercial industrial AI vendors document that copper concentrators implementing AI-enhanced process control achieve 5-10% grinding energy savings and 4-5% improvements in earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization [13].

Machine learning models predict metallurgical behavior including grindability, floatability, and recovery rates as functions of ore characteristics that can be measured rapidly at the mine such as mineralogy from automated core scanning, hardness from drill energy monitoring, and elemental composition from portable X-ray fluorescence. These predictions enable feed-forward control strategies that adjust processing parameters preemptively based on incoming ore properties rather than waiting for reactive feedback from downstream measurements, reducing variability and improving responsiveness. Computer vision systems analyze froth characteristics in flotation cells including bubble size distribution, froth stability and collapse rate, and froth color, establishing correlations with metallurgical performance and triggering automated adjustments to collector, frother, and modifier reagent dosages [12]. Soft sensors, which are empirical models that infer difficult-to-measure or expensive-to-measure process variables from readily available measurements, improve real-time monitoring and control by providing more frequent and lower-cost state estimation. Digital twins of complete processing plants [27, 29] enable virtual commissioning of new control strategies before implementation, operator training in simulated environments where mistakes have no consequence, scenario analysis for debottlenecking and expansion studies, and root cause investigation of process upsets without disrupting ongoing production.

3.6. Geotechnical Engineering, Ground Control, and Slope Stability

Geotechnical hazards including rockfalls in underground workings, slope failures in open pits, seismic events, and ground subsidence pose existential risks to mining operations through potential for mass fatalities, catastrophic equipment loss, production disruption, and environmental consequences. AI enhances geotechnical hazard detection, monitoring, prediction, and risk management through integration and interpretation of multimodal monitoring data [35]. Ground-based radar systems and satellite-based interferometric synthetic aperture radar measure surface deformations at millimeter-scale precision; machine learning algorithms analyze these displacement time-series to identify characteristic acceleration patterns that precede slope failures, distinguishing between stable creep and accelerating movement indicative of impending catastrophic release. Microseismic monitoring networks in underground mines continuously record ground vibrations; deep learning classifies seismic events into categories including production blasts, rockbursts, roof falls, and equipment-generated noise, and predicts spatial-temporal patterns of elevated seismicity that may herald major seismic events [22].

Computer vision applied to imagery from fixed monitoring cameras, periodic drone surveys, or terrestrial lidar scans detects subtle surface deformation, identifies development of new surface cracking or enlargement of existing cracks, tracks movements of unstable blocks, and monitors condition of geotechnical instrumentation and infrastructure [30]. Automated systems provide continuous 24/7 monitoring of highwall stability in active open pit benches, triggering progressive escalation of alarms as movement rates or cumulative displacements exceed predefined thresholds, enabling preemptive evacuation and equipment removal before failure. Integration of diverse data sources including deformation measurements, seismicity, rainfall accumulation, groundwater pore pressure, blasting proximity and intensity, and mining sequence into unified predictive models improves early warning capability and reduces false alarm rates that can lead to complacency.

3.7. Maintenance, Reliability, and Asset Health Management

Unplanned equipment failures disrupt production schedules, escalate repair costs through emergency mobilization of parts and labor, and create safety hazards from sudden mechanical breakdowns. Predictive maintenance, which uses real-time condition monitoring sensor data and machine learning models to forecast impending failures before they manifest functionally, represents one of the most mature and widely deployed AI applications across industrial sectors including mining [21]. Quantitative studies of predictive maintenance implementations on heavy mobile equipment and industrial processing assets document remarkably consistent performance improvements. Research on mining and heavy industrial equipment shows that predictive maintenance typically reduces unplanned downtime by 30-50% through earlier failure detection and planned intervention, and increases equipment useful life by 20-40% by avoiding secondary damage caused by catastrophic failures and enabling proactive component replacement before degradation spreads to coupled systems [15].

A comprehensive analysis of industrial predictive maintenance deployments found that implementations deliver 18-25% maintenance cost reductions and up to 40% savings compared to purely reactive strategies where equipment is run to failure, with leading organizations achieving return-on-investment ratios of 10:1 to 30:1 within 12-18 month deployment periods [14]. Mining-specific studies corroborate these broader industrial findings, demonstrating 15-20% reductions in maintenance downtime and 10-20% cost savings from predictive strategies [36]. A recent hybrid AI framework specifically designed for mining demonstrated 20-30% reduction in projected maintenance downtime through accurate forecasting of machinery faults including conveyor belt damage, pump seal failures, and crusher liner wear [21].

The technical foundation of predictive maintenance systems involves instrumenting critical assets with diverse sensors capturing vibration signatures, lubricant contamination and viscosity, thermal imaging, acoustic emissions, electrical current draw, and hydraulic pressure fluctuations. Machine learning models, typically trained on months or years of historical sensor data labeled with known failure events, learn characteristic degradation signatures that precede functional failure by days, weeks, or months depending on failure mode and progression rate. Anomaly detection methods complement supervised failure prediction by identifying deviations from normal operating behavior even for failure modes not previously observed, flagging unusual patterns for expert investigation. Time-to-failure and remaining useful life models estimate how much operating time remains before component replacement will be necessary, enabling optimized maintenance scheduling that balances production continuity against failure risk and maintenance resource availability. Digital twins of individual assets integrate engineering design specifications, accumulated operating history, current sensor streams, and maintenance logs to provide holistic health assessments and support what-if scenario analysis, such as evaluating how increased production throughput requirements might accelerate wear progression [27, 28].

3.8. Ventilation, Energy Management, and Environmental Monitoring

Underground mine ventilation represents a substantial portion of total mine energy consumption, often 25-50% for deep mines. AI-driven ventilation-on-demand systems adjust airflow dynamically based on real-time occupancy tracking, equipment location and duty cycle, and air quality measurements including oxygen, carbon monoxide, nitrogen oxides, diesel particulate matter, and radon levels, reducing ventilation energy consumption by 20-40% compared to fixed-flow systems while maintaining or improving air quality and safety standards. Machine learning models predict airflow distribution patterns in complex underground ventilation networks with multiple fans, regulators, doors, and natural ventilation pathways, enabling proactive control adjustments and rapid response to ventilation disruptions from fires or equipment failures.

Energy costs represent a major operating expense for most mining operations, and mines are increasingly pursuing energy efficiency, renewable energy integration, and carbon footprint reduction to meet corporate sustainability commitments and stakeholder expectations. AI optimizes energy procurement by forecasting electricity demand with sufficient accuracy to participate in demand response programs and ancillary services markets, and optimizes load scheduling to shift discretionary consumption such as grinding circuits or compressed air systems away from peak pricing periods. Predictive models enable mines to reduce contracted peak demand charges which can represent 30-40% of electricity costs. In diesel-intensive surface operations, AI-optimized fleet management reduces fuel consumption through intelligent route selection that minimizes haul distances and grades, speed profiling that balances productivity against fuel efficiency, and idle time minimization [33]. Environmental monitoring and regulatory compliance are increasingly data-intensive activities. Sensor networks continuously track air quality at boundary monitors, water chemistry in process circuits and discharge points, noise levels at nearby receptors, and dust emissions from haul roads and materials handling. Machine learning algorithms detect anomalous conditions, predict potential regulatory exceedances with sufficient lead time to implement mitigation measures, and automate generation of compliance reports. Satellite imagery analyzed by deep learning models monitors rehabilitation progress, vegetation recovery, deforestation, water body extent and quality, and infrastructure changes [22], supporting transparency in environmental performance reporting to regulators and stakeholders.

3.9. Safety, Fatigue Monitoring, and Incident Prevention

Safety performance is the mining industry's foremost priority and most visible performance metric, with zero harm to workers representing the universal aspiration [7]. AI contributes to safety enhancement through hazard prediction, behavior monitoring, incident investigation, and preventive controls. Predictive safety analytics mine historical incident databases, near-miss reports, hazard observations, inspection findings, and operational metrics to identify leading indicators of elevated risk. Statistical models may reveal that certain combinations of factors such as consecutive night shifts, inclement weather reducing visibility, simultaneous operation of multiple equipment types in confined areas, and production pressure to meet monthly targets statistically correlate with increased accident likelihood, enabling targeted interventions including additional supervision, mandatory safety briefings, or production slowdowns.

Fatigue management is critical given the prevalence of shift work, long commutes to remote mine sites, and extended shifts particularly at fly-in fly-out operations. Computer vision systems and wearable sensors assess operator alertness state in real-time [22]. Drowsiness detection systems installed in haul trucks monitor driver eye closure duration and frequency, head nodding, steering wheel micro-corrections indicative of attention lapses, and lane position variability, triggering escalating alerts from audio warnings to mandatory rest breaks to automatic vehicle slowdowns when fatigue indicators exceed thresholds. While such monitoring systems have demonstrably reduced fatigue-related incidents including vehicle run-offs and collisions in documented deployments, they raise legitimate concerns about worker privacy, surveillance, and potential punitive use of data that require careful governance [19].

Table 1 summarizes representative AI use-cases across mining functions with current technology maturity assessments and documented or expected value ranges based on industry deployments and research literature.

Table 1: Representative AI use-cases across mining engineering functions with technology maturity and documented value ranges.

Function	Representative Use-Case	Maturity Level	Expected Value
Exploration	Prospectivity mapping, drill core logging	Emerging/Pilot	Faster discovery, reduced costs
Haulage	Autonomous trucks, optimized dispatch	Proven/Commercial	15-30% productivity,10-15% fuel savings
Processing	Advanced process control, recovery optimization	Proven/Expanding	1-16% throughput, 5-10% energy, 1% recovery
Maintenance	Predictive maintenance, remaining useful life	Proven/Commercial	30-50% downtime reduction, 10:1-30:1 ROI
Geotechnical	Slope monitoring, seismic prediction	Emerging/Proven	Early warnings, catastrophic failure prevention
Safety	Fatigue monitoring, PPE compliance, collision avoidance	Emerging/Deployed	Incident reduction, injury prevention

4. Implementation Roadmap for US Mining Operations

Successful AI integration requires systematic planning and execution across five interdependent dimensions: data infrastructure, human capital, operational processes, technology architecture, and governance structures. Drawing on implementation frameworks from leading mining operators and technology vendors, we propose a phased roadmap tailored to US mining contexts. The roadmap begins with readiness assessment across these five dimensions, identifying capability gaps and priority areas. Operators should audit data availability, quality, accessibility, and governance; assess workforce AI literacy and identify skill gaps; evaluate process maturity and standardization; inventory existing technology systems and interoperability; and review governance structures including decision rights, risk management, and compliance frameworks.

Phase 1 focuses on foundational data infrastructure. Establish centralized data lakes or data warehouses with standardized schemas, implement data quality monitoring with automated validation, develop data governance policies covering ownership, access controls, retention, and privacy, and address sensor drift through calibration protocols. Critical to success is overcoming proprietary original equipment manufacturer communication protocols through either vendor partnerships or open-source integration platforms. Phase 2 executes high-value pilot projects targeting applications with favorable risk-reward profiles. Predictive maintenance of non-safety-critical equipment, energy optimization, and processing plant advanced process control represent lower-risk entry points with measurable return on investment that build organizational confidence before progressing to safety-critical autonomous systems. Pilot projects should follow disciplined methodologies including clear success metrics, controlled experiments with baseline comparisons, and rigorous documentation of lessons learned.

Phase 3 scales proven solutions while developing operational maturity. This requires establishing MLOps practices including model version control using systems like Git, automated testing pipelines, continuous integration and deployment workflows, monitoring dashboards tracking model performance and data drift, and governance processes for model approval and retirement. For safety-critical applications such as autonomous equipment and geotechnical monitoring, rigorous validation frameworks must demonstrate safety equivalence or superiority to conventional approaches, typically requiring months of parallel operation and statistical validation before autonomous operation. Cybersecurity becomes paramount as operational technology networks become increasingly interconnected. Implementing frameworks such as NIST Cybersecurity Framework and IEC 62443 industrial control system security standards is essential, particularly for US critical minerals facilities facing elevated threats from nation-state actors. Network segmentation isolating critical control systems, intrusion detection and anomaly monitoring, adversarial robustness testing of machine learning models against intentional manipulation, and incident response planning are required elements.

Human-in-the-loop design principles ensure that AI systems augment rather than replace human judgment in critical decisions. Operators and engineers must retain override authority, systems must provide interpretable explanations for recommendations to support informed human oversight, and escalation protocols must route edge cases to qualified personnel. Workforce development through partnerships with mining engineering programs, data science boot camps, and internal training programs addresses skill gaps. Cross-functional teams combining domain experts with data scientists facilitate knowledge transfer and build trust. Change management addressing concerns about job security, retraining opportunities, and evolving role definitions is essential for maintaining workforce engagement and union cooperation where applicable.

5. Challenges, Risks, and Mitigation Strategies

AI deployment in US mining faces formidable technical, organizational, regulatory, and ethical challenges requiring systematic mitigation. Data quality issues represent the most pervasive technical barrier. Sensor drift and calibration errors accumulate over months of continuous operation in harsh environments with temperature extremes, vibration, moisture, and dust. Proprietary communication protocols from original equipment manufacturers create data integration challenges across heterogeneous equipment fleets. Missing data from sensor failures, communication disruptions, and scheduled maintenance creates gaps in training datasets. Automated data validation, redundant instrumentation, and partnerships with OEMs to access native protocols mitigate these challenges.

Model validation for safety-critical applications poses unique challenges because mining operates in continuously varying geological and operational conditions, failure events required for training supervised models are rare by design, and regulatory standards for demonstrating safety equivalence do not yet exist. Rigorous validation frameworks require extensive parallel operation of AI and conventional systems, statistical analysis demonstrating non-inferiority or superiority with appropriate confidence levels, scenario-based testing covering edge cases, and ongoing monitoring for performance drift as operating conditions evolve. Regulatory uncertainties around autonomous systems create compliance and liability ambiguities. MSHA's existing regulatory framework does not explicitly address AI-enabled autonomous equipment, creating uncertainty around approval pathways, inspection protocols, and liability allocation when AI systems contribute to incidents [17, 18]. Proactive engagement with MSHA through pilot project notifications, technical working groups, and industry association advocacy can help shape sensible risk-based regulations.

Workforce impacts are contentious and require careful management. While autonomous systems reduce demand for equipment operators, they create new roles in data science, robotics maintenance, remote operations centers, and AI system validation [19]. Net employment effects vary by operation, but skills required shift toward technical disciplines. Acute skill shortages exist in data science and machine learning given mining's competition with technology sectors for talent, often at remote locations with limited amenities. Strategies include competitive compensation, flexible remote work arrangements where feasible, partnerships with universities for talent pipelines, internal upskilling programs, and retention incentives.

Ethical considerations span multiple dimensions. Algorithmic bias in AI systems used for hiring, promotion, or safety monitoring could perpetuate or amplify historical inequities if training data reflects biased human decisions. Privacy implications of wearable monitoring and video analytics for fatigue and safety monitoring must be balanced against safety benefits through transparent data governance policies, worker consent frameworks, and restrictions on data usage. Accountability when AI systems contribute to incidents remains unresolved, with questions about whether liability rests with operators, AI developers, data providers, or equipment manufacturers. Transparency and explainability are particularly challenging for deep learning models that may achieve superior performance but function as black boxes, complicating regulatory approval, stakeholder trust, and incident investigation. Explainable AI techniques that provide interpretable rationales for model predictions are an active research area with growing practical deployment.

6. Future Directions and Research Priorities

Looking forward, several research and development trajectories will shape the next generation of AI applications in mining. Trustworthy AI development emphasizing robustness to distribution shifts when operating conditions deviate from training data, fairness ensuring equitable treatment across demographic groups and geological domains, transparency enabling stakeholders to understand how decisions are made, and accountability establishing clear responsibility allocation, represents a fundamental requirement for safety-critical mining applications. Research priorities include developing uncertainty quantification methods that provide reliable confidence intervals on predictions, adversarial robustness testing and defenses against intentional manipulation, bias detection and mitigation techniques, and explainable AI architectures that balance interpretability with predictive performance.

Digital twin ecosystems will evolve from current single-asset or single-process implementations toward integrated mine-wide digital replicas enabling holistic optimization across extraction, haulage, processing, and supporting infrastructure [27]. Future capabilities include real-time what-if scenario analysis enabling operators to evaluate consequences of tactical decisions before implementation, multi-objective optimization balancing production, cost, energy, water, safety, and environmental objectives, predictive scheduling that accounts for equipment reliability, geological uncertainty, and market volatility, and collaborative decision support integrating inputs from multiple stakeholders including operations, maintenance, geology, and sustainability teams. Technical challenges include computational scalability to simulate complex mine systems at real-time or faster-than-real-time speeds, model calibration and validation ensuring digital replicas accurately represent physical reality, and integration across organizational silos and information technology versus operational technology domains.

7. Conclusion and Recommendations

Artificial intelligence offers the global mining industry and United States mining operations specifically, substantial opportunities for productivity enhancement, safety improvement, environmental footprint reduction, and business model transformation, with documented quantitative benefits including 15-30% productivity gains in autonomous haulage [10, 11], 30-50% reductions in maintenance downtime through predictive analytics [14, 15], 1-16% throughput increases and 5-10% energy savings from advanced process control [12, 13], and measurable safety improvements from computer vision monitoring and collision avoidance systems. However, realizing this transformative potential demands systematic organizational transformation that extends far beyond technology acquisition to encompass data infrastructure development, workforce capability building, process redesign, governance framework establishment, and stakeholder engagement across operators, regulators, labor organizations, and communities.

Technical challenges around data quality, sensor reliability in harsh environments, model validation for safety-critical applications, and cybersecurity in increasingly connected operational technology networks must be systematically addressed through engineering solutions, rigorous testing protocols, and defense-in-depth security architectures. Regulatory uncertainties within current MSHA frameworks that predate autonomous and AI-enabled technologies require proactive dialogue between industry and regulators to develop risk-based, performance-oriented standards that enable responsible innovation while maintaining or improving worker protection [17, 18]. Workforce implications including job displacement in some roles, creation of new positions in others, and dramatic shifts in required skill profiles demand thoughtful transition planning, retraining investments, and transparent communication [19].

For United States mining specifically, AI integration pathways must align with national strategic priorities including critical minerals security for 60 minerals essential to economic and defense applications [1], operational realities of declining ore grades requiring processing larger material volumes more efficiently [2, 3], aging workforce demographics necessitating knowledge capture before retirements, and competitive pressures from international mining regions that are deploying AI more aggressively. The implementation roadmap presented in Section 4 provides structured guidance through readiness assessment, foundational data infrastructure development, high-value pilot execution with rigorous evaluation, and scaled deployment with operational maturity development including MLOps, cybersecurity hardening, and governance frameworks.

Looking toward future research and development priorities, trustworthy AI emphasizing robustness, fairness, transparency, and accountability must be advanced particularly for life-safety applications. Digital twin ecosystem maturity enabling integrated mine-wide optimization represents a compelling vision requiring continued research in real-time simulation, multi-physics modeling, and calibration methodologies [26, 27]. Human-AI teaming models that preserve human expertise while leveraging computational capabilities require behavioral research and interface design innovation. Federated learning approaches enabling cross-mine collaboration without data sharing could accelerate capability development while respecting proprietary concerns.

We conclude with recommendations for key stakeholder groups. Mining operators should develop AI strategies aligned with business priorities, invest in data infrastructure as foundational enabler, pursue partnerships with technology vendors and research institutions, pilot high-value applications, develop workforce capabilities through training and recruitment, and engage proactively with regulators. Technology vendors must develop mining-specific solutions that account for harsh operating environments, integrate with heterogeneous legacy equipment, provide interpretable outputs suitable for safety-critical decisions, and offer flexible deployment models accommodating varying operator sophistication. Regulatory agencies should craft risk-based standards that enable innovation while safeguarding workers, establish clear approval pathways for autonomous systems, support pilot projects through regulatory sandboxes, and invest in inspector training on AI technologies. Academic researchers must advance AI methodologies suited to mining's unique challenges including sparse labeled data, safety-critical requirements, and harsh operating environments, facilitate knowledge transfer from research to practice, and develop curricula preparing the next generation mining workforce. By embracing artificial intelligence responsibly with clear-eyed assessment of both opportunities and challenges, United States mining can enhance productivity, improve safety, reduce environmental impacts, and secure critical mineral supply chains essential for energy transitions, advanced manufacturing, and national security.

Article Information

Disclaimer (Artificial Intelligence): The author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc.), and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of manuscripts.

Competing Interests: Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

References

[1] U. S. Geological Survey. *Final 2025 list of critical minerals*. Federal Register, 2025. URL https://www.federalregister.gov/documents/2025/11/07/2025-19813/final-2025-list-of-critical-minerals.

[2] G. Calvo, G. Mudd, A. Valero, and A. Valero. Decreasing ore grades in global metallic mining: A theoretical issue or a global reality? *Resources*, 5(4):36, 2016. URL https://doi.org/10.3390/resources5040036.

[3] S & P Global. Gold mine stripping ratios rise on high prices, grades continue declining. 2024.

[4] G. M. Mudd. The environmental sustainability of mining in Australia: Key mega-trends and looming constraints. *Resources Policy*, 35 (2):98–115, 2010.

[5] S. Russell and P. Norvig. *Artificial intelligence: A modern approach*. Pearson, 4th edition, 2020.

[6] I. Goodfellow, Y. Bengio, and A. Courville. *Deep learning*. MIT Press, 2016.

[7] D. Bellamy and R. H. Oskouei. Equipment and operations automation in mining: A review. *Machines*, 12(10):713, 2024. URL https://doi.org/10.3390/machines12100713.

[8] Y. Dong, R. Li, and R. Zuo. Deep forest modeling: An interpretable deep learning method for mineral prospectivity mapping. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Machine Learning and Computation*, 2024. URL https://doi.org/10.1029/2024JH000311.

[9] A. B. Pour, M. Hashim, Y. Park, and J. K. Hong. A review of mineral prospectivity mapping using deep learning. *Minerals*, 14(10): 1021, 2023. URL https://doi.org/10.3390/min14101021.

[10] Discovery Alert. Autonomous trucks and drilling systems revolutionising mining safety. 2025. URL https://discoveryalert.com.au/news/revolutionizing-mining-autonomous-technologies-2025-safety-productivity/.

[11] Pronto. *Autonomy scales up in mining*. Inside Unmanned Systems, 2023. URL https://insideunmannedsystems.com/autonomy-scales-up-in-mining/.

[12] C. Boucher and W. Yaici. Benefits of process control systems in mineral processing grinding circuits. *Minerals Engineering*, 79(Part B):139–145, 2015. URL https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mineng.2015.06.009.

[13] Imubit. Top 5 industrial AI solutions to optimize mineral processing. https://imubit.com/article/industrial-ai-mineral-processing/, 2025.

[14] iFactory. Preventive vs predictive maintenance in 2026. https://ifactoryapp.com/blog/preventive-vs-predictive-maintenance-2026, 2026.

[15] McKinsey. Manufacturing: Analytics unleashes productivity and profitability. 2017. URL https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/operations/our-insights/manufacturing-analytics-unleashes-productivity-and-profitability.

[16] J. Lateef and I. M. Awwal. Evolution and performance of post-tensioned concrete bridge systems: A systematic critical review of the disconnect between technological advancement and practical implementation. *Asian Journal of Current Research*, 10(4):304–319, 2025. URL https://doi.org/10.56557/ajocr/2025/v10i49937.

[17] W. Doran and M. Lopez. *Autonomous vehicles and MSHA*. Pit Quarry, 2023. URL https://www.pitandquarry.com/autonomous-vehicles-and-msha/.

[18] Ogletree Deakins. MSHA weighs in on the future of autonomous mining equipment. 2023. URL https://ogletree.com/insights-resources/blog-posts/msha-weighs-in-on-the-future-of-autonomous-mining-equipment/.

[19] L. Codoceo-Contreras, N. Rybak, and M. Hassall. Exploring the impacts of automation in the mining industry: A systematic review. *International Journal of Mining Science and Technology*, 2024. URL https://doi.org/10.1177/25726668241270486.

[20] J. Lateef and I. M. Awwal. A narrative review of recent advances in accelerated bridge construction: Materials, methods, and implementation challenges. *Journal of Basic and Applied Research International*, 31(6):99–111, 2025.

[21] A. Singla, A. Kumar, and R. Singh. A hybrid AI framework for integrated predictive maintenance and mineral quality assessment in mining. *Applied Sciences*, 15(22):12222, 2025. URL https://doi.org/10.3390/app152212222.

[22] Y. LeCun, Y. Bengio, and G. Hinton. Deep learning. *Nature*, 521(7553):436–444, 2015.

[23] G. Liu and W. Jiang. Deep learning-based damage detection of mining conveyor belt. *Measurement*, 175:109130, 2021. URL https://doi.org/10.1016/j.measurement.2021.109130.

[24] H. Wang, J. Chen, and Y. Zhang. Damage detection for conveyor belt surface based on conditional cycle generative adversarial network. *Sensors*, 22(9):3485, 2022. URL https://doi.org/10.3390/s22093485.

[25] R. S. Sutton and A. G. Barto. *Reinforcement learning: An introduction*. MIT Press, 2nd edition, 2018.

[26] M. Grieves and J. Vickers. Digital twin: Mitigating unpredictable, undesirable emergent behavior in complex systems. In F. J. Kahlen et al., editors, *Transdisciplinary perspectives on complex systems*, pages 85–113. Springer, 2017.

[27] B. Jodeiri Shokri, H. Ramazi, and F. Doulati Ardejani. Exploring digital twin systems in mining operations: A review. *Green Technologies and Sustainability*, 2(4):100082, 2024. URL https://doi.org/10.1016/j.grets.2024.100082.

[28] Nokia. Enhancing mining operations through digital twins. 2024. URL https://www.nokia.com/blog/enhancing-mining-operations-through-digital-twins/.

[29] SimWell. Tackling mining value chain challenges with simulation and digital twins. 2025. URL https://www.simwell.io/en/blog/tackling-mining-value-chain-challenges-with-simulation-and-digital-twins.

[30] Z. Wang, L. Liu, and Y. Chen. Mineral prospectivity prediction based on convolutional neural network and ensemble learning. *Scientific Reports*, 14:22760, 2024. URL https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-024-73357-0.

[31] J. Paraszczak and A. Gustafson. Robotics and autonomous systems in mining. In P. Darling, editor, *SME mining engineering handbook*, pages 1609–1624. Society for Mining, Metallurgy, and Exploration, 3rd edition, 2019.

[32] I. Awwal and J. Lateef. Optimizing urban road networks: A systematic review of design, control and multimodal integration. *Journal of Engineering Research and Reports*, 27(10):359–372, 2025. URL https://doi.org/10.9734/jerr/2025/v27i101678.

[33] Discovery Alert. Driverless trucks revolutionize surface mining operations. 2025. URL https://discoveryalert.com.au/news/driverless-trucks-surface-mining-autonomous-haulage-2025/.

[34] C. E. De Oliveira, B. L. Araujo, and R. G. Silva. Performance assessments of an advanced control system in an iron ore industrial grinding circuit. *Minerals*, 15(1):65, 2025. URL https://doi.org/10.3390/min15010065.

[35] I. J. Opara, J. Lateef, E. Nii-Okai, B. P. Saah, E. K. Mensah, G. F. O. Wiafe, and A. Olayode. Digital resilience in construction projects: A narrative review of data governance, BIM, and real-time decision support systems. *Journal of Management, and Development Research*, 2(2):117–124, 2025. URL https://doi.org/10.69739/jmdr.v2i2.1129.

[36] Infinite Uptime. Predictive maintenance IoT impact on mining. https://www.infinite-uptime.com/predictive-maintenance-iot-impact-on-mining/, 2025.